

Appendix 1

Consultation Responses to Good Design SPD (August/September 2025)

22	Support, no comment/ no action necessary	25	Point Noted but no change proposed	63	Agreed and changes made
0	Outstanding comments in discussion		No comments on Chapter		

CHAPTER: General Comments

SECTION		MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT
1	1	<p>Security considerations are primarily focused on residential development and public spaces. These principles should also be applied to other types of development, such as industrial estates and educational facilities.</p> <p>Suggested wording: “Proposals will be expected to demonstrate how the design has been influenced by the need to plan positively to reduce crime and the fear of crime, and how this will be achieved.”</p>	Agreed	<p>This will be made more explicit.</p> <p>Introduction. Change to ADD:</p> <p>‘In all development, safety and security is of paramount importance, and proposals will be expected to demonstrate how this has been achieved.’</p> <p>Retail and active frontage Add: Code relating to Security: ‘Roller shutters must be perforated and powder coated’ and ‘Roller shutter boxes must be located internally’</p> <p>Schools Add: Code relating to Boundaries: ‘Boundary fencing alongside the public realm and around entrances must be designed in harmony with the buildings and structures’ and</p>	Leicestershire Police

					<p>'Public art should be considered to offer a distinctive and attractive boundary'. 'Extensive lengths of perimeter fencing should be screened with planting.'</p> <p>Local Facilities Add: Code relating to Design: 'Safety and security must be integrated into any proposals.'</p> <p>Additional Checklist question added at the top: 'Has safety and security been well considered and integrated into the proposals'</p>	
2	2		Can more best practice images be used from around North West Leicestershire, Leicestershire or the Midlands.	Agreed	Local examples added	Ashby de le Zouch Town Council
3	3		Use of more local images	Agreed	Local examples added	JJM Planning
4	1		General support for the document	Response welcomed	n/a	Historic England
5	4		Request for more terraced units to show how these can be delivered.	Agreed Additional wording added to expand on how Frontage Parking needs to be delivered.	Change to: Separate pages for Frontage Parking and Side Parking.	NWLDC Strategic Housing
6	2		No comments regarding the document	Response welcomed	n/a	National Highways
7	3		No comments regarding the document	Response welcomed	n/a	Coal Authority Planning Team
8	4		Illustrations throughout are extremely helpful and provide a clear, simple, quick and efficient way of communicating information.	Response welcomed	n/a	Davidsons
9	1		Document should make it clearer for those undertaken smaller projects so that they do not navigate the whole document	Each chapter contains a table at the end, which indicates what may be applicable depending on different types and scale of application.	No change proposed	JJM Planning
10	2		Recommend the avoidance of tandem parking, as it can lead to on street parking.	Noted	No change proposed.	LCC Highways

			Only two spaces will count towards minimum parking standards.	This point is valid, though the alternative is an overreliance on frontage parking, which can have a more detrimental impact on the street scene.		
11	3		Risk of layouts not being adoptable if guide is not in accord with the LHDG	Noted. For information.	No action required	
12	4		Could be more reference to people with disabilities.	Noted. This is an important issue that the document seeks to address. A number of chapters currently refer to this topic: Housing Quality refers to the need to consider adaptability from the outset. Social Interaction has been amended to take into account the design of seating for different users.	No action required	
13	5		Any DPD documents should not be in conflict with the Highway Authority standards, particularly with regard to road adoption standards and requirements.	Noted. For information.	No action required	
14	6		Document could reference Health Impact Assessments.	Noted. The Local Plan is proposing Draft Policy AP6 in relation to Health Impact Assessments. There may be unnecessary duplication with that policy (though currently not adopted)	No action required	LCC Public Health
15	7		Strong links to nature for health and wellbeing and the importance of views. Links are welcome and could be made more explicit in relation to both physical and mental health and wellbeing outcomes.	Noted.	No action required	

16	5		Checklist approach a significant strength that will enable planning officers to quickly and visually identify non compliance and impacts	Response welcomed	n/a
17	6		Consistent use of 'must' is positive, with a higher proportion of 'must' than 'should'.	Response welcomed	n/a
18	7		Use of case studies is valuable and will help ensure compliance, and will encourage developers to go beyond minimum standards	Response welcomed	n/a

CHAPTER 2 Rationale and Vision

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME	
19	8	General	Section provides a really good variety of design and street hierarchy....giving a strong flavour of different design approaches.	Response welcomed	n/a	Davidsons
20	9	Vision elements	Supported	Response welcomed	n/a	Environment Agency
21	5	Case study	Breedon case study. Development has private roads, and this is not necessarily clear to those who do not know the scheme.	Agreed	Change to: Add reference to the nature of the road within this development.	LCC Highways

CHAPTER 3 Assessment

SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME
No comments received				

CHAPTER 4 Responds to Context

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME	
22	10	Views	Strong commentary on protecting views. This is a key concern for existing residents and contribute to wellbeing	Response welcomed	n/a	LCC Public Health
23	6	Character	Characteristics focus on physical features of land and could also mention health profile of the area and social issues.	Agree	Change to: Add new layout to Character study section that sets out the various components of character. Include uses as part of that.	
24	8		Character assessment needs to consider the surrounding area, not solely the red line boundary.	The introductory text to the character section refer to <i>both the site and its immediate and wider context.</i> The second paragraph also refers to building 'a detailed appreciation of the site and its surroundings'	No action needed	
25	7	National Forest	Removal of reference to Sustainable Design Guide in introduction as the document focuses on tourism proposals.	Agreed.	Remove reference.	National Forest Company
26	8		Suggested revised wording: 01. National Forest planting guidelines relate to development which exceeds 0.5ha and requires between 20-30% Forest-related green infrastructure. Details of planting options are contained in the National Forest Guide for Developers and Planners (link opposite). Development below 0.5ha will be expected to incorporate normal landscaping appropriate to the site's National Forest setting.	Agreed	Change to: 01. National Forest planting guidelines relate to development which exceeds 0.5ha and requires between 20-30% Forest-related green infrastructure. Details of planting options are contained in the National Forest Guide for Developers and Planners (link opposite).	

27	9		<p>Suggested revised wording:</p> <p>0.2 Where planting and landscaping cannot be accommodated within or close to a development, or where the only potential area of planting or landscaping is small or is isolated with limited connectivity to other habitats, a commuted sum may be agreed.</p> <p>To align with policy En3</p>	Agreed	<p>Change to :</p> <p>0.2 Where planting and landscaping cannot be accommodated within or close to a development, or where the only potential area of planting or landscaping is small or is isolated with limited connectivity to other habitats, a commuted sum may be agreed.</p>	
28	10		<p>Suggested revised wording:</p> <p>03. Not all tree planting and landscaping will contribute to the National Forest planting requirement, but all planting has the potential to reinforce the character and identity of the National Forest, as well as contributing to legibility and wayfinding.</p> <p>Street trees for example do not form part of the National Forest planting requirements set out above but will create character and identity.</p>	Agreed	<p>Change to:</p> <p>03. Not all tree planting and landscaping will contribute to the National Forest planting requirement, but all planting has the potential to reinforce the character and identity of the National Forest, as well as contributing to legibility and wayfinding.</p> <p>Street trees do not, for example, form part of the National Forest planting requirements set out above, although they do create character and identity.</p>	
29	11		<p>Section on 'use of timber'</p> <p>Suggest the use of better images that can match wording</p>	Agreed.	Images updated	
30	12	National Forest	<p>Suggested additional wording regarding Sustainable performance:</p> <p>Design and build significantly above the 'ordinary' and use the forest as a location to encourage and trial 'green' construction technology and building techniques.</p> <p>Design for low energy and efficiency.</p> <p>Adopt low carbon construction.</p> <p>Source materials sustainability.</p> <p>Design for water efficiency, including rainwater harvesting systems and grey water recycling.</p>	Agreed	<p>Change to:</p> <p>Propose splitting the National Forest pages so that they cover planting and construction.</p> <p>The comments have been included but split over the two spreads.</p>	
31	13		<p>Add suggested wording on the Tourism Accommodation Guide, as follows:</p> <p>The Sustainable Tourism Accommodation Design Guide sets out rationale for encouraging new and retrofitted tourist</p>	Agreed	As comment directly above	
						National Forest Company

			accommodation development that helps create a distinctive National Forest character and sense of place and makes a case for investing in the National Forest as a sustainable tourism destination.			
32	14		Suggested changes to photos used that better demonstrate National Forest character and planting and the use of trees.	Agreed.	Images updated	
33	15		Change order of reference links to National Forest documents	Agreed	Order changed	
34	16	Checklist	Bookend photos should be changed to reflect National Forest planting and National Forest character	Agreed	Images updated	
35	17		Checklist in appendix Should read : Have National Forest planting requirements been followed?	Agreed	Changed wording.	

CHAPTER 5

Movement

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME	
36	18	Intro	Include a reference to the need for a transport vision and reduce the need to travel by the location of land uses	Agreed	Add to introduction Good design is about making sure that development is in the right place to reduce the need to travel, and in creating a strong framework of streets and spaces that provides choices about how people can move about, particularly pedestrians and cyclists.	LCC Highways
37	11	Street Network	Support the addition of criteria relating to the future proofing of land.	Response welcomed	n/a	Ashby de le Zouch Town Council
38	9		Pedestrian and cycle links not adjacent to the adoptable highway are unlikely to be adopted.	For information. Noted	None proposed	LCC Highways
39	10		Image showing footpath adjacent to private drive. LCC unlikely to adopt.	For information. Noted. This is a key issue for the council in achieving	None proposed	

				connectivity across areas that are not linked – e.g disconnected private drives.		
40	19		Image showing planting. This would not be acceptable on adopted development roads.	Noted	Image changed.	
41	11		SN1 Requesting the addition of ' <i>away from major road networks/busy streets</i> '... So that pedestrians are not pushed onto major roads that may not be safer.	The Code already states 'This should preferably be away from major road networks and busy streets.'	None proposed.	Ashby de le Zouch Town Council
42	12		Image showing footpath adjacent to private drive. LCC unlikely to consider adoption.	For information. Noted	None proposed.	LCC Highways
43	20	Street hierarchy	The Primary Street Section indicates 3m cycleway and 2m pavement (5m in total). Is this what will now be required on every Primary Street?	The LCC Highways Design Guide provides options within the street hierarchy.	Change to: Provide some alternative street sections to offer flexibility and greater legibility.	Davidsons
44	21		Include a reference under <i>Secondary Streets</i> to require a 6m width if a bus service is proposed.	Agreed	Change to: Secondary Streets require a 6m width if a bus service is proposed.	LCC Highways
45	22		Tertiary Streets needs to include (Shared surface Residential Access)	Agreed	Change to: Additional wording to title included (Shared surface Residential Access)	
46	23		Edge Lanes are referred to in a street section, but this is not mentioned earlier.	It may be that we can amalgamate 'Edge Lanes' with Tertiary Streets as they will perform the same function. In reality 'Edge Lanes' are single sided Tertiary Streets.	Change to: Propose to retain the Edge Lane diagram but clarify the point that these are single sided Tertiary Streets.	
47	24	Walking	Include reference to NWL Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP)	Agreed	Additional wording included	
48	12	Walking and cycling	This is well written and walking and cycling priority comes through well. This will be useful when responding to applications.	Support welcomed	n/a	NWLDC Health and wellbeing
49	13	Walking	Walking routes not adjacent to adoptable highway unlikely to be adopted	For information. Noted	None proposed.	LCC Highways
50	25	Cycling	Include reference to NWL Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP)	Agreed	Additional wording included	LCC Highways
51	26		Refer to minimum cycling standards in LHDG	Agreed	Additional wording included	

52	27		Long stay cycle parking should be covered.	Agreed	Add Code: "long stay cycle parking should be covered"	
53	28	Materials	LHDG incorrectly referred to. Higher quality materials will cost more to maintain. This will increase the cost of any commuted sum that will be attached to the areas of adopted highway and the associated public realm.	Agreed. The point about costs should be added.	Document now correctly referred to. Change to: The LHDG sets out two palette types for development: a 'standard' palette and an 'enhanced' palette of higher quality materials. The enhanced palette will cost more to maintain and will result in an increased commuted sum to areas of adopted highway and associated public realm.	LCC Highways
54	29	Junctions and crossings	'Copenhagen crossings will be unlikely to be considered for adoption	Accepted	Image changed.	
55	14	Parking	A parking space of 2.4x5.5m is recommended. Is this still correct?	Yes. This is the requirement set out within the recent LCC Highways Design Guide	No change needed.	Davidsons
56	30		Refer to increasing need to provide EV charging facilities	Agreed	Reference to EV charging added	LCC Highways
57	15		50:50 rule and its difficulty in relation to affordable housing. Concern to avoid displaced parking.	Noted.		NWLDC Strategic Housing
58	31	Parking approach	Clarify diagram re parking drawing to make it less confusing	Agreed	Amendment made	LCC Highways
59	32		Include space dimensions in diagrams	Agreed	Amendment made	
60	16		Query re image relating to street trees and vehicle movement	Noted Image is indicative only	No change proposed	
61	33		Query re image showing garages close to the road	Agreed The image is NOT focussing on the garages, but recognise that this is a misleading element.	Image changed	
62	34		Reference should be made to the requirement for 0.25 visitor spaces per dwelling.	Visitor parking is important but can depend greatly on the required destination and may not always be where it is needed. LCC has indicated that in some cases provision may be	Add text re Visitor Parking Road layouts should anticipate visitor parking, which is usually linked to the destination. Where formal visitor spaces are required these need to be carefully designed and located to respond to need and minimise loss of open space.	

				on street, but particular provision may be necessary where road layouts make this problematic and highway safety risk.	<p>Code</p> <p>PA.5 Where needed, visitor spaces should be well positioned and designed to minimise loss of open space.</p> <p>PA.6 Formal visitor spaces should be in clearly marked parking bays, which need to be designed in consultation with the Highways Authority.</p> <p>PA.7 Formal visitor spaces should be surfaced in block paving, with a contrasting colour used to delineate them and offer any agreed markings.</p>	
63	35		Minimum internal dimensions for a double garage should be added.	Agreed	Dimensions added	
64	36	Parking Courtyards	Suggest residents make little or no use of parking courtyards. LCC may resist a reduction of in-curtilage parking provision and may not treat parking courtyards as counting to parking numbers.	Agreed that wording needs stiffening to avoid non-use. Well designed parking courtyards can still provide a viable parking solution, but strict guidelines need to be met.	<p>Change to:</p> <p>Parking courtyards have the potential to provide a parking solution, but the reluctance to use good quality materials and poor design decisions can make them unpleasant and unsafe environments where residents opt to park elsewhere. Specific design criteria are set out here that must be addressed for parking courtyards to be considered appropriate. Additional costs relating to these criteria need to be factored in by applicants prior to committing legally to a land purchase, particularly where it is intended to provide parking for affordable housing.</p>	
65	37	Parking courtyards	These should apply equally to affordable and market housing to be tenure blind.	Agreed	<p>Change to:</p> <p>Design requirements for parking courtyards apply irrespective of tenure.</p>	NWLDC Strategic Housing
66	13	Surface parking	Support section on surface parking	Response welcomed	n/a	Environment Agency
67	38		Reference could be made to the required space and aisle dimensions within the LHDG	Link doesn't exist. Clarification being sought but can be added.	<p>Change to:</p> <p>Updated link.</p>	LCC Highways
68	17	Services & Utilities	Would prefer to see 'ginnels rather than foreshortening gardens. Current approach has a lack of overlooking over access ways.	Noted	No change proposed.	NWLDC Strategic Housing

69	39	Services & Utilities	Revised dimensions for new recycling container system. Should be 4.13 sq/m	Agreed	Change to: 4.13 sq/m	NWLDC Waste Services
70	40		Revised dimensions for height of enclosed stores. Should be 1670mm	Agreed	Change to: 1670mm	
71	41		Revised requirements for collection points. Should be 2.10sq/m per unit	Agreed	Change to: 2.10sq/m	
72	42		Para 2 should be 'containers' not 'crates'	Agreed	Change to: "..bins and recycling containers dominating.."	
73	43		For any private driveways or edge lanes constructed to an adoptable standard, which the Highways Authority won't adopt and there's no bin collection point(s), for the council's waste collection vehicles to traverse them it would require indemnification against any damage to these roads as a result of waste collection vehicles gaining access and egress to empty waste and recycling receptacles. This would need to be secured via a separate legal agreement between the council and the applicant and/or the management company responsible for the roads. The council will not traverse with the waste collection vehicles any roads which are not constructed to an adoptable standard.	Agreed	Change to: Roads must be constructed to an adoptable standard for waste collection vehicles to be able to traverse them. Indemnity against damage must be provided to allow waste collection vehicles to use unadopted roads. This must be secured via a separate legal agreement between the Council and the applicant and/or management company.	
74	14	Waste	Good reference to safety when performing tasks, particularly bin storage and short drag distances	Response welcomed	n/a	LCC public health

CHAPTER 6 Embraces Nature

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME
75	15	General	Support for the section	Response welcomed	n/a
76	44	Network of spaces	National Forest images to be used	Agreed	Images updated
					Environment Agency
					National Forest Company

77	45	Open space design	Reference to Natural England's Green Infrastructure Framework: Principles and Standards should be included. Suggest incorporating the FIVE headline standards: S1 Green Infrastructure Strategy Standard; S2 Accessible Greenspace Standard; S3 Urban Nature Recovery Standard; S4 Urban Greening Factor Standard; S5 Urban Tree Canopy Cover Standard. These could be used as an output measure for developers. https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/GreenInfrastructure/Home.aspx	Agreed The Council has an emerging policy on Green and Blue Infrastructure. There is a Green and Blue Infrastructure dated June 2022 that sets out recommendations, which offers a locally distinctive response.	Change to: Designs need to respond to the findings of the Green and Blue Infrastructure Study, as well as the Fields in Trust Standards.	Natural England
78	18		Natural England Green Infrastructure Planning and Design Guide 2023 was highlighted.	Noted The Council is using the Fields in Trust standards, which provides a comparable benchmark.	No action	
79	16	Green Infrastructure	Support for the inclusion of a definition	Response welcomed	n/a	Environment Agency
80	46	Open Space design	Use of photos needs amending to reflect National Forest	Agreed	Images updated	National Forest Company
81	17	Sustainable drainage	Support for wording	Response welcomed	n/a	Environment Agency
82	18		Section supported.	Response welcomed	n/a	Natural England
83	47		Suggested wording: SuDs can contribute to National Forest planting, but only if they are: - Ecologically designed; - Either permanently or seasonably wet; - Surrounded by extensive tree planting; Ponds are more likely to contribute to National Forest planting where they are located adjacent to existing or proposed woodland due to the potential for habitat connectivity.	Agree	Change to: SuDs can contribute to National Forest planting, but only if they are: - Ecologically designed; - Either permanently or seasonably wet; - Surrounded by extensive tree planting; Ponds are more likely to contribute to National Forest planting where they are located adjacent to existing or proposed woodland due to the potential for habitat connectivity.	National Forest Company
84	48	Sustainable drainage	'Must be considered' should be changed to make it more robust.	Agree	Change to:	Ashby de le Zouch Town Council

					'Sustainable drainage (SuDS) must be included...	
85	19	Street trees	Photo 3 is in a constrained location that is unlikely to survive.	The image is illustrative and the health of a tree in this location would depend on the tree pit.	No action.	LCC Highways
86	19		Wording welcomed	Response welcomed	n/a	Environment Agency
87	20		Section welcomed. Trees and other planting in new and existing areas should be diverse to increase biodiversity and resilience, with more use of native species and non-native species with documented value for wildlife.	Response welcomed	n/a	Natural England
88	49		Street trees. Request for an addition that stipulates that trees must be replaced, with a time limit. Trees are removed and not replaced, and this needs to be avoided.	Agree.	Change to: ST9 Dead, failing or vandalised trees must be replaced within the first available growing season.	Ashby de la Zouch Town Council
89	50		Use of more local examples	Agree	Images changed	National Forest Company

CHAPTER 7 Makes Best Use of Land

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME	
90	21	Separators and Integrators	This is particularly effective. Explanation of green space acting both as a separator and integrator when adding amenity value is clear and helpful.	Response welcomed	n/a	LCC public health
91	20	Back to Back distance	Is the <i>Back to Back distance</i> diagram illustrative or will planting be required?	The image is illustrative	No action	Davidsons

CHAPTER 8 Enjoys a Strong sense of place

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME	
92	51	Building design	Document needs to state that it applies to all tenures	Agreed	<p>Change to:</p> <p>Add in principles section</p> <p>05 Design quality will be expected, regardless of tenure.</p> <p>Code Approach must be introduced and followed through regardless of tenure.</p>	NWLDC Strategic Housing

CHAPTER 9 Has attractive public spaces

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME	
93	52	Meeting places	<p>The section reads '<i>front doors could be grouped to promote social interaction</i>'.</p> <p>This needs to also include clearly defined boundaries to create defensible space and reduce disputes.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The guidance suggests the potential for grouping doors, but this needs to also include definition of space.</p>	<p>Change to:</p> <p>06. Grouping front doors, together with appropriate definition of territory, can also promote interaction between neighbours on an ad hoc basis.</p> <p>SI.7 Front doors could be grouped to promote social interaction, subject to appropriate definition of territory.</p>	Leicestershire Police

94	53		<p>Seating orientation and placement, particularly in relation to views is strong. Additional guidance could be included on seating design for different groups and ages.</p> <p>Benches are multifunctional, providing physical rest, opportunities to be still and connect with nature, and social interaction.</p>	<p>Agree Additional wording introduced to provide guidance on these issues.</p>	<p>Change to:</p> <p>04 The design of seating should be informed by the different needs of users, so as to encourage use.</p> <p>A range of seating must be designed to cater for different age groups and users and respond to the needs and scale of space.</p>	LCC public health
----	----	--	---	---	--	-------------------

CHAPTER 10 Has a mix of uses

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME	
95	21	Retail and active frontage	<p>Additional wording is suggested in relation to retail properties discouraging recessed doorways. This is to prevent opportunities for anti-social behaviour.</p>	<p>Recessed doorways and shopfronts may form part of the essential character of a place. A wide range of compensatory issues e.g lighting that are also required within the guidance.</p>	No action proposed	Leicestershire Police
96	22	Local facilities	<p>Recreation, sports pitches and facilities isn't really covered.</p>	<p>The range of facilities provided will be covered in other documents.</p>	No action proposed	NWLDC Health and wellbeing

CHAPTER 11 Has great homes to live in

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME	
97	54	Space standards	Ambiguity as the checklist says ' must ' whereas the main text says should .	Agree. Clarification and ambiguity removed.	Change to: 01 Buildings need to be able to accommodate change.	LCC public health
98	55	Gardens and balconies	Section refers to a 1.8m wall against the public realm. This should be amplified by a buffer, such as hedging or foliage, to prevent anti-social behaviour (e.g graffiti)	Agreed	Change to: GB4 Opportunities should be taken to soften walls with hedging, planting or foliage.	Leicestershire Police
99	56		Front doors must be provided for ground floor properties. This is needed to enliven the street scene.	Agreed	Change to: GB8 Front doors must be <i>provided</i> for ground floor apartments.	NWLDC Strategic Housing

CHAPTER 12 Looks to the future

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME	
100	22	General	Support	Response welcomed	n/a	Environment Agency
101	57	Energy efficiency	Include reference to <i>Ground Source Heat Pumps</i> as part of the Governments Future Homes Standard requirement. Need to consider position and orientation.	Agreed	Change to: Heat pumps must be located and oriented to minimise visual impact.	NWLDC Strategic Housing

CHAPTER 13 Is well looked after

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME	
102	23	Stewardship	Concern about the possible lack of accountability for management companies	Noted	No action proposed	NWLDC Strategic Housing
103	58		Highways need to be designed to adoptable standards	Agreed	Change to: Add Highways need to be designed to adoptable standards.	LCC Highways

CHAPTER 14 Householders

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME	
104	59	45 degree rule	Suggest that must not is replaced by should not	Agreed	Change to: <i>Should not</i>	NWLDC Planning Department
105	60		Request a definition of ' habitable room '	Agreed	Change to: Definition of habitable room added	
106	61		'Must not' should be replaced with ' should not normally '	Agreed	Change to: <i>Should not</i>	JJM Planning
107	24	Should not apply to ground floor extensions given what can be done under Permitted Development (PD).	This covers buildings larger than those covered under PD.	No action proposed.		
108	25	Separation distances	Needs to be made clear that this is guidance only and other considerations need to be taken into account.	This is already covered in the introduction to the section.	No action proposed.	

109	62	Extensions	Amended wording so that 'generally is included in relation to roof height for extensions.	Agreed	Change to: Add the word <i>generally</i>	
-----	----	------------	---	--------	--	--

Appendix Checklist

	SECTION	MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENT NAME
110	63	Checklist in appendix Should read : Have National Forest planting requirements been followed?	Agreed	Change to: Have National Forest planting requirements been followed?	National Forest Company